



Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21

Staff: DR.NIJU P

Subject: MAT 202 - PROBABILITY, STATISTICS AND NUMERICAL METHODS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Overall Score: 87.11 **Users Completed: 54**


sers	Completed: 54				Overall Scor	e:87.1
ction	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	30	55.56%	532.5
		Good	14.13	16	29.63%	226.
		Fair	10.28	6	11.11%	61.6
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.7%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	29	53.7%	420.
		Good	11.81	17	31.48%	200
		Fair	8.11	6	11.11%	48.6
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.7%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	28	51.85%	210
	of Tananasa (Alimagness to 114).p	Good	5.71	16	29.63%	91.3
		Fair	4.04	7	12.96%	28.2
		Poor	1.13	1	1.85%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.7%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	40	74.07%	234
	1. Approximate 70 of classes 1001 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	3.7%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	4	7.41%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	14.81%	13.1
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	45	83.33%	180
		Yes	0.67	5	9.26%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	4	7.41%	6.6
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	36	66.67%	333
	1. Teachers domey to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	16	29.63%	101
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.7%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	46	85.19%	218
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	4	7.41%	5.30
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.41%	5.6
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	42	77.78%	288
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	8	14.81%	31.1
		No	0.74	1	1.85%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.56%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	43	79.63%	290
	251 Tot of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	6	11.11%	16.6
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.85%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	4	7.41%	7.76
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	85.19%	529
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	2	3.7%	2.66
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.56%	15.1
		Blank	4.03	3	5.56%	12.0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	37	68.52%	416
	o. o. Juni readming effectiveness	Good	9.03	9	16.67%	81.2
		Fair	6.46	4	7.41%	25.8
		Poor	1.56	1	1.85%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	5.56%	6.09

Strength and Weakness

• nothing

Special Remarks

• nothing







Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21

Staff: BIJU JACOB

Subject: BTT202 - CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGIACL REACTION ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 54** Overall Score: 85.89


Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	32	59.26%	568
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	14	25.93%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	4	7.41%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	4	7.41%	32
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	30	55.56%	435
	2. Clarity and Orderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	15	27.78%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	5	9.26%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	2	3.7%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	2	3.7%	8.18
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	33	61.11%	247.5
	3. Teachers willingness to help	Good	5.71	12	22.22%	68.52
		Fair	4.04	7	12.96%	28.28
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.7%	4.42
	4.4. ' + 0/ C.1. NOT. 11 11 + 1	Less than 10	5.85	41	75.93%	239.85
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	5.56%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.7%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	14.81%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	42	77.78%	168
		Yes	0.67	6	11.11%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	6	11.11%	9.9
2		Excellent	9.25	35	64.81%	323.75
_	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	14	25.93%	88.76
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.85%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	7.41%	12.64
		Just Right	4.75	45	83.33%	213.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	5	9.26%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.41%	5.6
		Yes	6.88	38	70.37%	261.44
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	16.67%	35.01
		No No	0.74	3	5.56%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	7.41%	7.12
		Pleasant	6.75	44	81.48%	297
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	4	7.41%	11.12
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	5.56%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.28	3	5.56%	5.82
		Sincere	11.50	43	79.63%	494.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33		_	5.32
				4	7.41%	+
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	7.41%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	3	5.56%	12.09
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	37	68.52%	416.25
		Good	9.03	9	16.67%	81.27
		Fair	6.46	3	5.56%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	1.85%	1.56
	a and Weakness	Can't Judge	2.03	4	7.41%	8.12

Strength and Weakness

• nope

Special Remarks

• nope







Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21

Staff: SUSMITHA KUMARI

Subject: BTT202 - CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGIACL REACTION ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 53** Overall Score: 85.79

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	31	58.49%	550.25
	1. Subject Kilowiedge	Good	14.13	13	24.53%	183.69
		Fair	10.28	7	13.21%	71.96
		Poor	3.53	1	1.89%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.89%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	28	52.83%	406
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	16	30.19%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	7	13.21%	56.77
		Poor	2.01	2	3.77%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	28	52.83%	210
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	14	26.42%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	9	16.98%	36.36
		Poor	1.13	2	3.77%	2.26
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	71.7%	222.3
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	7.55%	14.64
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.66%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	15.09%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44	83.02%	176
		Yes	0.67	6	11.32%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.66%	4.95
!	1.77	Excellent	9.25	30	56.6%	277.5
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	18	33.96%	114.12
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.89%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	4	7.55%	12.64
		Just Right	4.75	41	77.36%	194.75
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	8	15.09%	10.72
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.55%	5.6
		Yes	6.88	37	69.81%	254.56
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	20.75%	42.79
		No	0.74	2	3.77%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.66%	5.34
		Pleasant	6.75	44	83.02%	297
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	5	9.43%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.77%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.26	2	3.77%	3.88
		Sincere	11.50	46	86.79%	529
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	40	7.55%	5.32
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.66%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
						_
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	32	60.38%	360
		Good	9.03	13	24.53%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	5	9.43%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	1	1.89%	1.56
	and Weakness	Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.77%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: RUBEENA.S

Subject: BTT204 - PRINCIPLES OF BIOCHEMISTRY

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023 Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 52 Overall Score: 86.83

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	29	55.77%	514.75
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	18	34.62%	254.34
		Fair	10.28	4	7.69%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	1.92%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	30	57.69%	435
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	17	32.69%	200.77
		Fair	8.11	5	9.62%	40.55
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	28	53.85%	210
	3. Teachers winnighess to Help	Good	5.71	14	26.92%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	7	13.46%	28.28
		Poor	1.13	1	1.92%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.85%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	38	73.08%	222.3
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	5.77%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.85%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	9	17.31%	14.76
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44	84.62%	176
		Yes	0.67	6	11.54%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.85%	3.3
2	1 Tarahamahilitata anamira laatam	Excellent	9.25	33	63.46%	305.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	15	28.85%	95.1
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.92%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.77%	9.48
		Just Right	4.75	43	82.69%	204.25
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	7	13.46%	9.38
		Can't Judge	1.40	2	3.85%	2.8
		Yes	6.88	37	71.15%	254.56
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	11	21.15%	42.79
		No	0.74	3	5.77%	2.22
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.92%	1.78
	4 D 1 . ' . C1 1	Pleasant	6.75	41	78.85%	276.75
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	7	13.46%	19.46
		Unpleasant	1.28	2	3.85%	2.56
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.85%	3.88
	5.01 14 0.1 4 1	Sincere	11.50	43	82.69%	494.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.92%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	6	11.54%	30.36
		Blank	4.03	2	3.85%	8.06
		Excellent	11.25	33	63.46%	371.25
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	9	17.31%	81.27
		Fair	6.46	6	11.54%	38.76
		Poor	1.56	1	1.92%	1.56
	-	Can't Judge	2.03	3	5.77%	6.09

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: RUBEENA.S

Subject: MCN202 - CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023 Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 52 Overall Score: 87.18

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	53.85%	497
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	17	32.69%	240.21
		Fair	10.28	4	7.69%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	1	1.92%	3.53
		Can't Judge	8.00	2	3.85%	16
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	30	57.69%	435
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	15	28.85%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	4	7.69%	32.44
		Poor	2.01	2	3.85%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.92%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	29	55.77%	217.5
	3. Teachers willingness to Help	Good	5.71	14	26.92%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	6	11.54%	24.24
		Poor	1.13	1	1.92%	1.13
		Can't Judge	2.21	2	3.85%	4.42
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	39	75%	228.15
	4. Approximate 70 of classes 1001 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	3.85%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.77%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	15.38%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	45	86.54%	180
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	5	9.62%	3.35
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.85%	3.3
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	33	63.46%	305.25
	1. Teachers ability to organize fecture	Satisfactory	6.34	14	26.92%	88.76
		Inadequate	2.50	3	5.77%	7.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	2	3.85%	6.32
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	46	88.46%	218.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.77%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	5.77%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	76.92%	275.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	13.46%	27.23
		No	0.74	2	3.85%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	3	5.77%	5.34
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	44	84.62%	297
	T. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	5	9.62%	13.9
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.77%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	45	86.54%	517.5
	J. Smoothy of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	3	5.77%	3.99
		Unable to Judge	5.06	3	5.77%	15.18
		Blank	4.03	1	1.92%	4.03
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	32	61.54%	360
	o. Overall reaching effectivelless	Good	9.03	13	25%	117.39
		Fair	6.46	5	9.62%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	1	1.92%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.92%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: ANANYA ANIL

Subject: BTT204 - PRINCIPLES OF BIOCHEMISTRY

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023 Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21

Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 52** Overall Score: 91.4

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	34	65.38%	603.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	14	26.92%	197.82
		Fair	10.28	4	7.69%	41.12
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	33	63.46%	478.5
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	15	28.85%	177.15
		Fair	8.11	3	5.77%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.92%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	33	63.46%	247.5
	3. Teachers withingness to Help	Good	5.71	14	26.92%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	5	9.62%	20.2
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	40	76.92%	234
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	3.85%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	4	7.69%	7.64
		Can't Judge	1.64	6	11.54%	9.84
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	46	88.46%	184
	3. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	4	7.69%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.65	2	3.85%	3.3
	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	39	75%	360.75
	1. Teachers admity to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	12	23.08%	76.08
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.92%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	48	92.31%	228
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.77%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	1	1.92%	1.4
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	44	84.62%	302.72
	5. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	5	9.62%	19.45
		No	0.74	2	3.85%	1.48
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.92%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	45	86.54%	303.75
	T. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	5.77%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.92%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.77%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	49	94.23%	563.5
	5. Sincertly of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	1	1.92%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	2	3.85%	10.12
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	37	71.15%	416.25
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	11	21.15%	99.33
		Fair	6.46	3	5.77%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.92%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: JICKSON G.H

Subject: BTT206 - BIOPROCESS ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023 Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 52 Overall Score: 91.21

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	34	65.38%	603.5
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	15	28.85%	211.95
		Fair	10.28	3	5.77%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	32	61.54%	464
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of Cachers Explanations	Good	11.81	16	30.77%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	3	5.77%	24.33
		Poor	2.01	1	1.92%	2.01
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	34	65.38%	255
	3. reachers winnighess to flerp	Good	5.71	14	26.92%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	4	7.69%	16.16
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	40	76.92%	234
	4. Approximate 76 of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	2	3.85%	7.32
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.85%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	8	15.38%	13.12
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	49	94.23%	196
		Yes	0.67	2	3.85%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.65	1	1.92%	1.65
2	1.77. 1 1.77	Excellent	9.25	34	65.38%	314.5
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	17	32.69%	107.78
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.92%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2 51	Just Right	4.75	46	88.46%	218.5
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	2	3.85%	2.68
		Can't Judge	1.40	4	7.69%	5.6
	2 D41-41	Yes	6.88	44	84.62%	302.72
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	7	13.46%	27.23
		No	0.74	1	1.92%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	47	90.38%	317.25
	4. Denavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.85%	5.56
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.92%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	2	3.85%	3.88
	5 Cincouity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	47	90.38%	540.5
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	7.69%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	1	1.92%	4.03
		Excellent	11.25	39	75%	438.75
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	8	15.38%	72.24
		Fair	6.46	3	5.77%	19.38
		Poor	1.56	1	1.92%	1.56
		Can't Judge	2.03	1	1.92%	2.03

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Staff: DR.K. B. RADHAKRISHNAN

Subject: EST200 - DESIGN AND ENGINEERING

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023 Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21 Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020

Users Completed: 52 Overall Score: 86.4

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	53.85%	497
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	17	32.69%	240.21
		Fair	10.28	3	5.77%	30.84
		Poor	3.53	3	5.77%	10.59
		Can't Judge	8.00	1	1.92%	8
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	27	51.92%	391.5
	2. Clarity and Chaerstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	16	30.77%	188.96
		Fair	8.11	6	11.54%	48.66
		Poor	2.01	2	3.85%	4.02
		Can't Judge	4.09	1	1.92%	4.09
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	26	50%	195
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	14	26.92%	79.94
		Fair	4.04	8	15.38%	32.32
		Poor	1.13	3	5.77%	3.39
		Can't Judge	2.21	1	1.92%	2.21
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	39	75%	228.15
	4. Approximate 70 of classes 1001 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	3	5.77%	10.98
		More than 25	1.91	3	5.77%	5.73
		Can't Judge	1.64	7	13.46%	11.48
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	44	84.62%	176
	er maner and teachers are and a few only	Yes	0.67	3	5.77%	2.01
		Can't Judge	1.65	5	9.62%	8.25
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	28	53.85%	259
	1. Teachers defintly to organize rectare	Satisfactory	6.34	21	40.38%	133.14
		Inadequate	2.50	2	3.85%	5
		Can't Judge	3.16	1	1.92%	3.16
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	44	84.62%	209
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	5	9.62%	6.7
		Can't Judge	1.40	3	5.77%	4.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	40	76.92%	275.2
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	10	19.23%	38.9
		No	0.74	1	1.92%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	1	1.92%	1.78
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	43	82.69%	290.25
	i. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	3	5.77%	8.34
		Unpleasant	1.28	3	5.77%	3.84
		Can't Judge	1.94	3	5.77%	5.82
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	46	88.46%	529
	of the total of th	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	7.69%	20.24
		Blank	4.03	2	3.85%	8.06
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	32	61.54%	360
	o. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	12	23.08%	108.30
		Fair	6.46	6	11.54%	38.76
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	2	3.85%	4.06

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21

Staff: ANN M GEORGE

Subject: MCN202 - CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 52** Overall Score: 85.78

ection	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	28	53.85%	497
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	13	25%	183.0
		Fair	10.28	9	17.31%	92.5
		Poor	3.53	2	3.85%	7.06
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	28	53.85%	406
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	13	25%	153.
		Fair	8.11	10	19.23%	81.1
		Poor	2.01	1	1.92%	2.0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	2. Tanahara Willingmasa ta Hale	Excellent	7.50	27	51.92%	202
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Good	5.71	15	28.85%	85.0
		Fair	4.04	8	15.38%	32.3
		Poor	1.13	2	3.85%	2.20
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4.4.	Less than 10	5.85	41	78.85%	239
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	4	7.69%	14.0
		More than 25	1.91	2	3.85%	3.82
		Can't Judge	1.64	5	9.62%	8.2
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	41	78.85%	164
		Yes	0.67	8	15.38%	5.30
		Can't Judge	1.65	3	5.77%	4.9
		Excellent	9.25	31	59.62%	286
	1. Teachers ability to organize lecture	Satisfactory	6.34	17	32.69%	107
		Inadequate	2.50	1	1.92%	2.5
		Can't Judge	3.16	3	5.77%	9.48
		Just Right	4.75	44	84.62%	209
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	3	5.77%	4.02
		Can't Judge	1.40	5	9.62%	7
		Yes	6.88	38	73.08%	261
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Some Times	3.89	9	17.31%	35.0
		No	0.74	1	1.92%	0.74
		Can't Judge	1.78	4	7.69%	7.12
		Pleasant	6.75	43	82.69%	290
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	2	3.85%	5.50
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	1.92%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.28	6	11.54%	11.0
		Sincere	11.50	43	82.69%	494
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere		_		+
			1.33	1	1.92%	1.33
		Unable to Judge	5.06	4	7.69%	20.2
		Blank	4.03	4	7.69%	16.1
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	30	57.69%	337
		Good	9.03	14	26.92%	126
		Fair	6.46	5	9.62%	32.3
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	3	5.77%	6.09

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21

Staff: DR.ANI LAWRANCE

Subject : CHT282 - SAFETY ENGINEERING OF PROESS PLANTS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023

Users	Completed: 8			(Overall Scor	e: 88.7
Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	5	62.5%	88.75
	1. Subject Tillowledge	Good	14.13	2	25%	28.26
		Fair	10.28	1	12.5%	10.28
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	5	62.5%	72.5
	The state of the s	Good	11.81	2	25%	23.62
		Fair	8.11	1	12.5%	8.11
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	5	62.5%	37.5
	e de la companya de l	Good	5.71	2	25%	11.42
		Fair	4.04	1	12.5%	4.04
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	5	62.5%	29.25
	Trippionimime // or classes it or chigh god of the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	1	12.5%	1.91
		Can't Judge	1.64	2	25%	3.28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	7	87.5%	28
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	Yes	0.67	1	12.5%	0.67
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
2	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	5	62.5%	46.25
		Satisfactory	6.34	3	37.5%	19.02
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	7	87.5%	33.25
	1	Too Fast	1.34	1	12.5%	1.34
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	7	87.5%	48.16
		Some Times	3.89	1	12.5%	3.89
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	7	87.5%	47.25
		Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	1	12.5%	1.28
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	7	87.5%	80.5
	•	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	1	12.5%	5.06
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	4	50%	45
	-	Good	9.03	2	25%	18.06
		Fair	6.46	2	25%	12.92
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.





Batch: BTech KTU BT 2K21

Staff: DR.SANDEEP C S

Subject: MRT282 - FUNDAMENTALS OF ANALOG AND DIGITAL ELECTRONICS

Session Name: Faculty Feedback June 2023

Session Id: TE-SN1948-Jun-2023 Survey Id: TE26-Jan-2020 **Users Completed: 0** Overall Score: 0

Section	Question	Option	Mark	Users Opted	Opted %	Total Mark
1	1. Subject Knowledge	Excellent	17.75	0	0%	0
	1. Subject Knowledge	Good	14.13	0	0%	0
		Fair	10.28	0	0%	0
		Poor	3.53	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	8.00	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Understanding of teachers Explanations	Excellent	14.50	0	0%	0
	2. Clarity and Onderstanding of teachers Explanations	Good	11.81	0	0%	0
		Fair	8.11	0	0%	0
		Poor	2.01	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	4.09	0	0%	0
	3. Teachers Willingness to Help	Excellent	7.50	0	0%	0
	3. reachers withinghess to freip	Good	5.71	0	0%	0
		Fair	4.04	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.13	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.21	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate % of classes NOT engaged by the teachers	Less than 10	5.85	0	0%	0
	4. Approximate 70 of classes 1001 engaged by the teachers	10 to 25	3.66	0	0%	0
		More than 25	1.91	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.64	0	0%	0
	5. Whether the teachers dictates notes only	No	4.00	0	0%	0
		Yes	0.67	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.65	0	0%	0
)	Teachers ability to organize lecture	Excellent	9.25	0	0%	0
	1. Teachers ability to organize recture	Satisfactory	6.34	0	0%	0
		Inadequate	2.50	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	3.16	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Just Right	4.75	0	0%	0
	2. Speed of presentation	Too Fast	1.34	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.40	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher encourage questioning	Yes	6.88	0	0%	0
	3. Does the teacher cheotrage questioning	Some Times	3.89	0	0%	0
		No	0.74	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.78	0	0%	0
	4. Behavior of the teacher	Pleasant	6.75	0	0%	0
	i. Delia (10) of the teacher	Indifferent	2.78	0	0%	0
		Unpleasant	1.28	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	1.94	0	0%	0
	5. Sincerity of the teacher	Sincere	11.50	0	0%	0
	3. Sincerity of the teacher	Not Sincere	1.33	0	0%	0
		Unable to Judge	5.06	0	0%	0
		Blank	4.03	0	0%	0
	6. Overall Teaching effectiveness	Excellent	11.25	0	0%	0
	o. Overall reaching effectiveness	Good	9.03	0	0%	0
		Fair	6.46	0	0%	0
		Poor	1.56	0	0%	0
		Can't Judge	2.03	0	0%	0

Strength and Weakness

No remarks found.

Special Remarks

No special remarks found.